Reviewed by: Group G06 (Group Members: Rooma Rathore, Rohini Prinja)

Paper Reviewed: An Overview of Data Warehousing and OLAP Technology
Narrative and Presentation by: Group G12 (Group Member: Michael Goshey)

Slides Review:
- Probably the Introduction page does not need the paper name, it might just be called Agenda.
- One of the reasons why this problem needs to be researched and hence is significant is that Data warehouses are significantly different from DBMS.
- ETL should be expanded in slide #8 as it is the first usage of the acronym.
- Key concepts slide #8, #9 doesn’t clearly state key concepts: like Metadata stands alone, how is it related to the discussion at hand, is it a part of architecture?
- One of the validations can also be the statistics provided in the introduction w.r.t usage of Data warehouse systems.
- One assumption is market size for data warehousing will increase and hence there is a need of research of better methods here.
- Any exercises that can be formulated to gauge the understanding of audience?

Narrative Review:
- In both slides and narrative, it will be good to put Authors’ names after the title of the paper.
- It would be more interesting to write the key concepts around the architecture diagram provided in the paper. Right now, the explanation of key concepts looks more independent than being a part of architecture. They do not gel together.
- The assumption that Data warehouses are read-only is true as writing a materialized view on top of other data amounts to just reading that data. User is not modifying the data that was pulled up from operational databases.
- It might be beneficial to discuss the how-to for refreshing the data and issues related to it in the narrative as well as Slides. Refreshing the data in a warehouse can actually be a huge task depending upon the size of operational databases and the frequency with which they change.