Summary of updates to paper review
First we would like to thank Prof. Shashi Shekhar, TA: Sangho Kim and our classmates Mitchell Felton, David Nguyen (G11) and Kuo-Wei Hsu, Amanuel Godefa (G10) for their constructive feedback. We have found the review comments very useful and have made the following changes in our narrative and presentation based on the comments.

Summary of changes to various sections of narrative

Introduction
Based on feedback from Professor and G11, we have added more background information about search engines by moving one of the paragraphs from problem statement section to introduction.

Problem statement
Problem statement has been converted into formal style. Example has been added to clarify the significance of problem. (Both suggested by Prof.)

Major Contributions
Last paragraph removed as it was more applicable to key concepts section. Parts of it had been already covered in bulleted form.

Key Concepts
Reference to figures and tables added as suggested by Prof.
An explanation for the document score expression was added as suggested by G10.
Table created in document instead of copied as figure from the original documentation, as suggested by G10.

Validation
Re-written to discuss the case studies done by author and to discuss strength and weakness. Some of the improvements suggested by G11 also added.

Assumptions
Bullet style was removed and whole section was changed to paragraph form. For each of the assumption we added comment whether it is reasonable or not. G10 suggested that we add information about language differences.

Revisions
G10 suggested adding discussion about searching multi-media data.

References
Additional references were added.
Summary of changes in presentation:
Narrative and presentation are modified to be in Sync in all the sections of document.

Introduction:
Added the overview slide between title and start of problem statement

Problem Statement:
Modified the problem statement to fit the Given, Find, Objectives Template
Separated the two questions “Why is the problem Hard” and “Why is the problem Important” into two slides and made them more terse by pruning lot of sentences

Contributions and Key Concepts:
Contributions and key concepts are separated. Key concepts start with basics of scoring a document

Validations and Assumptions:
Added Informix database validation and mentioned which Assumptions are valid and which are not.

Testing the audience understanding:
Added a small slide: to test concepts for the audience.

References:
References were modified in the last slide